Legal and Ethical Issues Facing Cybersecurity Researchers Aaron Burstein UC Berkeley School of Law



#### Overview

- Three case studies
  - Collecting and sharing network packet traces
  - Analyzing software
  - Running infected hosts
- · Identify and explain legal issues in each case
- Identify individual, institutional interests that influence ethical considerations

## DISCLAIMER These materials provide a general discussion of legal issues facing cybersecurity research. This discussion is not intended to provide individualized legal advice.



# Example 1: Obtaining Data from Networks

- Two separate concerns:
  - Collecting network measurement data (e.g., packet traces)
  - Publishing data
- Legal issues
  - Communications privacy laws
- Ethical issues
  - Respecting users' privacy
  - Respectful uses of published traces

#### Electronic Communications Privacy Act (ECPA)

- Wiretap Act (18 U.S.C. § 2510-22)
  - Prohibits real-time interception of communications contents
- Stored Communications Act (18 U.S.C. § 2701-110) ("SCA")
  - Prohibits certain disclosures of content and noncontent/addressing information
- Pen Register/Trap and Trace statute (18 U.S.C. § 3121-27) ("Pen/Trap")
  - Prohibits real-time interception of noncontent/addressing information



- Some trace collection permitted by:
  - Consent of users or
  - "Provider" exception (allowing network operators to monitor networks to defend them)
- Limitations
  - Individual consent hard to get
  - Blanket consent (e.g., as part of a network's terms of service) may provide little information about data collection, use
  - Provider exception requires collaboration with operational IT staff

#### How ECPA Affects Cybersecurity Research (1)

- Activity: Collecting full-packet traces in realtime
  - Relevant law: Wiretap Act
  - Applies to *any* network (government, enterprise, WiFi, university, etc.)
  - Need consent or sufficient link to operational network protection for provider exception
  - Wiretap Act continues to cover traces after they are recorded \If collection violates law, disclosure probably does too.



### How ECPA Affects Cybersecurity Research (3)

- Activity: Sharing or publishing packet traces
  - Relevant law: SCA
    - Applies only to "public" service providers: commercial ISPs but not businesses
  - Full-packet traces: disclosure prohibited without consent, subpoena
  - Packet header traces: disclosure allowed unless given to "governmental entity"
    - Much broader than law enforcement; hampers some public releases

#### Ethical Dimensions of Trace Collection and Analysis

- ECPA extends 4th Amendment right protecting individuals against unreasonable *government* searches to non-government actors.
  - Communications records can reveal a huge amount of information about individuals.
- Many users expectations' of privacy protection from network providers sometimes outstrip legal protections.

#### Impact of Communications Privacy Ethical Considerations

- Data collection/sharing plans should go beyond legal issues to consider:
  - De-identifying data (and possibilities of reidentifying it) to protect individuals;
  - Costs, benefits of limited disclosure versus unrestricted publication;
  - How to enforce limited disclosure agreements; and
  - Effects on the researcher's organization (e.g., compliance with privacy policies)
- Summary: It is essential to vet plans with IT and legal officials from the host organization.



#### Software Analysis: Legal Issues

Issues

- Finding software vulnerabilities
- Publishing results
- Relevant laws:
  - Contract law (EULAs, clickwrap/shrinkwrap licenses)
  - Digital Millennium Copyright Act (DMCA)

# Software Analysis: Contract Issues

- EULAs typically prohibit reverse engineering, other processes that reveal vulnerabilities
- Courts usually enforce them . . .
- . . . but important issues remain unsettled:
  - Pre-emption by patent law
  - Tension with First Amendment



- "No person shall circumvent a technological measure that effectively controls access to a work protected" by the Copyright Act
- But: courts, U.S. DOJ have found that the DMCA does *not* prohibit conducting research on or publishing papers about software vulnerabilities.
- Caveats:
  - Publishing actual circumvention software *might* violate DMCA.
  - Restrictions in EULAs still apply.



- Whether (and when) to notify software vendor
- How much detail to publish



## Running Infected Hosts: Legal Issues

Contexts

- Running malicious code in testbeds
- Running honeynets to interact with attackers
- Legal Issues
  - Computer Fraud and Abuse Act (CFAA)
  - Child pornography possession









#### "Electronic Communication"

 "'[E]lectronic communication' means any transfer of signs, signals, writing, images, sounds, data, or intelligence of any nature transmitted in whole or in part by a wire, radio, electromagnetic, photoelectronic or photooptical system that affects interstate or foreign commerce" (with some exceptions) (18 USC § 2510(12))



#### Resources

- Legal Information Institute (<u>http://www.law.cornell.edu/</u>)
  - Open access to US Constitution, US Code, Code of Federal Regulations
- Samuelson Clinic at UC Berkeley School of Law (<u>http://www.samulesonclinic.org/</u>)
- Toward a Culture of Cybersecurity Research (<u>http://ssrn.com/abstract=1113014</u>)
  - In-depth analysis of applicable privacy laws and proposal for a research exception to the ECPA