TFRC for Voice: VoIP Variant and Faster Restart.

Sally Floyd, Eddie Kohler. November 2004 <u>http://www.icir.org/floyd/papers/</u> draft-ietf-dccp-tfrc-voip-00a.txt, not yet submitted

Issues for VoIP traffic:

- Small packets:
 - Fairness in packets per second (pps) or in bytes per second (Bps)?
- Measuring congestion:
 - Packet loss rates or loss event rates?
- Restart after idle:
 - Faster Restart.
- Next steps.

VoIP: fairness in Bps.

- Standard TFRC has the goal of fairness in pps with TCP flows using the same packet size.
- The VoIP variant of TFRC has the goal of fairness in Bps with TCP flows using 1500-byte packets, (following RFC 3714).
- The VoIP variant assumes optimistically that the network limitation is in Bps, not in pps.

VoIP: fairness in Bps.

- In the TCP throughput equation, use the measured loss event rate and a packet size of 1460 bytes.
- Reduce the allowed transmit rate to account for the fraction of the VoIP bandwidth that would be used by 40-byte headers:
 - X <- X * TruePktSize/(TruePktSize + Header)</p>
 - TruePktSize = average segment size in bytes
 - Header = 40 bytes
- Enforce a Min Interval between packets of 10 ms.

TFRC only

tfrcOnly



Standard TFRC (bottom) and TCP (top)



VoIP TFRC (bottom) and TCP (top)



Measuring Congestion:

- The VoIP variant of TFRC uses the loss event rate.
 - RFC 3714 uses the packet drop rate.
- These are both affected by packet size and by the smoothness of the sending rate.
- The effect of packet size on the packet drop rate could use more investigation.

Measuring Congestion:

- Packet size in a Drop-Tail world:
 - Queue measured in bytes, packets, or in-between?
 - Smooth or bursty sending rates?
 - High or low levels of statistical multiplexing?
- RED in packet mode:
 - Same packet drop rate for big and small packets.
 - TFRC measures the loss interval in packets.
- RED in byte mode:
 - Same byte drop rate for big and small packets.

The VoIP variant of TFRC:

- As it stands now, it sometimes favors the VoIP TFRC flow over the large-packet TCP flow.
- This needs to be quantified and evaluated.

Faster Restart after Idle:

- The motivation:
 - The sender knows more after an idle period that it does when just starting up.
 - So it should be able to be more aggressive than the default slow-start.

Faster Restart after Idle:

- CCID 3 says not to reduce the sending rate below 4 packets per RTT because of an idle period.
 - Change this to 8 packets, or at most 4KB.
- Quadruple instead of double the sending rate each RTT.
 - Up to the old sending rate.
- Allow this just for VoIP TFRC flows restricted to at most one packet per 10 ms?
 - Or allow this for any TFRC flow?

The next step:

- Allow TFRC flows to send more than twice the reported receive rate under other circumstances, if:
 - Allowed by the allowed sending rate, and
 - That rate has been successfully sustained in the past, and
 - There has been no congestion in the recent past.
- Justification: You know more than a blank slate, so you should be able to be more aggressive than slow-start.

The state of TFRC in NS:

- Includes the VoIP variant.
- Includes RFC 3390 initial sending rates.
- More updating is needed.
 - Add RFC 3390 sending rates after idle periods.
 - Add Faster Restart.
 - Add overhead for packet headers.