Problem Statement for DCP

draft-floyd-dcp-problem-00.txt

Sally Floyd, Mark Handley, and Eddie Kohler

DCCP Working Group July 15, 2002 Yokohama IETF

Requirements:

- Unreliable data delivery, but with congestion control.
- ECN-capable.
- A choice of TCP-friendly congestion control mechanisms.

Constraints:

- Low overhead, for applications that send small packets.
- Traversing firewalls?
- Ability to negotiate congestion control parameters:
 - ECN.
 - type of congestion control.

Three possibilities, for flows that now use UDP:

- Congestion control above UDP.
- Congestion control below UDP.
- Congestion control in another transport protocol.

Congestion control above UDP:

- Burden on the application designer, or on RTP.
- The problems of firewall traversal and parameter negotiation remain.
- Application-level control over ECN?
- Evasion of end-to-end congestion control?

Congestion control below UDP:

- If congestion control feedback is at the application layer:
 - CM does this.
- Issues: parameter negotiation; ECN; firewalls; evasion of congestion control.
- If congestion control feedback is at the layer below UDP:
 - An additional packet header is needed.
- To be most effective, the semantics of the UDP socket API would have to be changed, for late binding, and for communication of sequence numbers. Thus, we are already changing UDP.

If a new transport protocol (other than UDP):

Modify TCP?

- We want a choice of congestion control mechanisms.
- We want sequence numbers in packets rather than bytes.
- Would we need a new protocol number anyway?
- * Unreliable variants of SCTP?
- Support for multiple streams is not needed for unreliable transfer, so we don't want to pay the price in extra packet overhead.
 - Separate control chunks for ECN feedback?
 - We want a choice of congestion control mechanisms.
- * A new protocol?
 - Yep.

Other design considerations:

- Mobility?
- Defense against DoS attacks:
 server should not hold state for unacknowledged connection attempts.
- Interoperation with RTP.
- Interactions with NATs and firewalls:
- Explicit connection setup and teardown helps.

Questions:

- Is this the right problem?
- Do we have the right set of constraints?
- Are there other requirements that we haven't considered?
- Feedback?