
Tracking the Role of Adversaries in Measuring Unwanted Traffic

Mark Allman (ICSI)

Paul Barford (Univ. Wisconsin)

Balachander Krishnamurthy (AT&T Labs - Research)

Jia Wang (AT&T Labs - Research)

How do adversaries impact measurements?

- While measurement systems are widespread, attention to impact of unwanted traffic is light
- Currently only arbitrary subset of attacks are considered
- Systematic evaluation of adversaries' impact on measurement systems is needed

How adversaries impact *malware* measurements

- Focus on malware measurements as opposed to general measurements
- In general measurements, gaming is common - consider Keynote – which measures from n sites but measures know them and can counteract
- In security related measurements, system could be compromised and inference could be flawed

Background on measuring unwanted traffic

Other categorizations possible but broadly

- Firewall: maintain per-flow state and control connection set-up
- NIDS - network intrusion detection systems: anomaly detection (e.g., by matching signatures)
- Honeypots: monitor and responds to probes
- Application-level filters: e.g., spam filter

Challenges to security-related measurement systems

- Direct attacks
- Evasion
- Avoidance attacks

Direct attacks

- Attack an IDS by increasing its memory requirements -- make it maintain more state
- Increasing background noise (via legitimate requests)
- Compromise measurement platform (e.g. Witty worm compromise hosts running ISS)

Evasion

- Break payloads across packets ("ro" and "ot")
- Use non-standard port - port 80 for ssh
- Reorder and retransmit to fool NIDS
- Common victim: spam filters -- hence the use of random strings, deliberate mis-spellings
- Arms race - no easy way to defeat fully

Avoidance attacks

- Reverse blacklisting honeypots to avoid them
- Reverse blacklists exchanged between attackers
- One countermeasure is Mohonk technique of rotating blackhole prefixes

Taxonomy of how unwanted traffic pollutes measurement: concepts

- Two key concepts: consistency and isolation
 - Consistency: Set of packets P_i always results in same set of log entries A_j
 - Isolation: Set of packets P_i results only in log entries A_j
- Log entries vary across firewalls/NIDS and honeypots
 - Firewalls/NIDS logs: alarms with summary information from rule matching packets
 - Honeypot logs: packet traces with headers and/or payloads

Taxonomy of how unwanted traffic pollutes measurement

- Consistent/isolated:
 - $P_i \rightarrow A_i$ and no other P_k will impact A_i
 - the baseline case - no pollution
- Consistent/non-isolated:
 - $P_i \rightarrow A_i$ but $P_i + P_j \rightarrow A_j$
 - measurement system behaves fine but log entries caused by unwanted traffic altered by other unwanted traffic
- Inconsistent/isolated:
 - $P_i \rightarrow A_{j_1}$ at time t_1 and $P_i \rightarrow A_{j_2}$ at time t_2
 - inconsistent behavior but limited impact
- Inconsistent/non-isolated: highly unpredictable

Consistent/non-isolated - example

Rule #1: `uricontent:"/hsx.cgi";`
(raise an alarm if /hsx.cgi appears in the URI)

Rule #2: `uricontent:"/hsx.cgi"; content:"../.."; content:"%00"; distance:1;`
(/hsx.cgi appears in URI and content of ../.. followed by null byte in payload, raise an alarm)

Sequence S_1 :
payload 1: POST /hsx.cgi HTTP/1.0\r\nContent-length: 10\r\n\r\n
payload 2: ../
payload 3: ../
payload 4: \x00\x00\x00\x00

Sequence S_2 :
payload 1: POST /hsx.cgi HTTP/1.0\r\nContent-length: 10\r\n\r\n
payload 2: ../
payload 3: \x08/
payload 4: ../
payload 5: \x00\x00\x00\x00

- Snort generates alarms 1 and 2 on sequence S_1 but only alarm 1 on S_2
- (backspace character in the fourth packet) - log entry changed by S_2

Inconsistent/isolated

- Measurement system generates different alarm sets A_1 , A_2 for same set of packets P arrived at time t_1 and t_2 .
- Multiple backspace packets sent to Snort leads to unpredictable impact (inconsistency) on log entries but only signatures affected by backspace are problematic (isolated)

Inconsistent/non-isolated

Measurement system generates different log entries over time and is thus unpredictable

- Randomness in unwanted packet streams (beyond order of arrival)
- DoS: significantly increase resource use on measurement system
- What gets logged can be hard to predict

Adding resilience to measurement systems

- Situational awareness
- Separating an attack from a non-attack
- Bypassing attacks
- Graceful degradation

Summary

- We have examined impact of unwanted traffic on measurement systems
- An initial taxonomy of how such traffic pollutes measurement
- Helps us design resilient measurement systems